Key Assumptions Review

# Facebook pitch:

Like profile page for each project

running list of comments

standard visual for activities

“social aspect”

notification feeds

familiar concept/interface - new fresh, yet easy to engage with the tool

personal connection with PM and PMO - personalization

Not sure of social aspect

Test:

Would want if not duplicative

Can build

would need to generate pages itself

modular interface and database

who would handle the O&M

Needs to need to be up to brand standard and UX quality

# Status/Comment Chat and Alert box:

Instant alert status - maybe between PM and team

comments help explain things without having to email and follow up with people

familiar idea to interact via comments/social

history of the statuses can be very helpful over time - like idea of previous weekly status reports

Test:

Alerts aspects would be useful - team and PM, PM and PMO only as needed, could have other PMs chip in with advice,

would need levels of alerting

can build

scalable

if reduces time to solution then it would be something that people use

Sparkle:

Icons for key points/status

menu added to status to update with an image and category

Customer - want

Build - yes

Scalable - yes

Defensible - we need to have an admin for the tool or self-service

Meeting PMs where at and keeping them more engaged and useful.

Microblog:

daily postings viewed publicly - certain status causes public alerts (like going yellow)

escalates status so PMO/team can connect with PM immediately. pull data from github.

Customer - PM yes, perhaps get from the github. Alerts issued when velocity is off the norm.

Build - yes

Scalable - yes

Defensible - integration with mature systems might give it a better chance to succeed.

wiki integration - add thanks for good work onto wiki

Status reports - should tally the number of reports against active projects, when received, if on time, etc.

After 2 weeks - needs to start sending reminders to the PM.

Roadmap review is the potential project to real project - after the roadmap meeting.

Must be approved on the roadmap review/program level roadmap before it goes to the capacity planning. CP is where they schedule it.

Project ID is assigned before the project goes to roadmap, so it might not be the best trigger.